PROSPECTIVE STUDY ON THE FUNCTIONAL OUTCOME OF INTERTROCHANTERIC FRACTURES TREATED WITH PROXIMAL FEMORAL NAIL: IS ITS USE STILL RELEVANT?

Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate clinical and functional outcomes of use of proximal femoral nail (PFNs), a popular mode of fixation in the treatment of intertrochanteric fractures of the proximal femur.

Methods: Over a period of 10 months starting from Jan 2017 to Nov 2017, 20 patients, diagnosed with proximal femoral fractures were included in this prospective study after having taken ethical clearance from the institution. All fractures were classified using Boyd Griffin classification. All cases were treated with PFN by a single surgeon. Functional score was assessed using Harris hip score before fracture and at follow up. Regular follow up was carried out at 4, 6, 8, 12 weeks and then at 6 and 12 months.

Results: Twenty patients with intertrochanteric fractures, 9 males and 11 females with a mean age of 61.7 years took part in the study. Based on the Boyd and Griffin classification 35%, 40% and 25% respectively were classified as types 2, 3 and 4 fractures. There were no intra-operative complications. Six cases required open reduction as attempts at closed reduction failed to achieve the desired fracture reduction. Tolerated weight bearing was allowed at second to tenth postoperative day. The mean follow up period was 12.5 months (8 - 17 months). None of the cases had fixation failure, loss of reduction, collapse of the neck, nonunion or deep infection. Two of the cases had superficial infection which were treated successfully with a course of antibiotics and dressings. The mean functional score was 87.93, which translates to a Good outcome.

Conclusion: Our study shows that PFN when used for intertrochanteric fractures provides good to excellent functional results thus justifying its continued popularity even after 20 years after its introduction and despite the emergence of many variations in its design.
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Introduction

There has been a dramatic increase in the number of proximal femoral fractures in the recent decades. Most of these occur in elderly patients and about 32% of those who sustain the fracture die within an year of the injury. Occurrence of a proximal femur fracture reduces life expectancy by 1.8 years and 1/5 of the remaining life is spent with dependency on long term care services. Only 50% of those who survive beyond 6 months after the injury achieve pre fracture functional state. It has been proven that mortality in this group of patients can be reduced by early surgical intervention, early mobilisation and load bearing.

Fifty percent of hip fractures in elderly patients are intertrochanteric fractures while more than 50% of these are of the unstable variety. Hip fractures are bimodal in age distribution; >95% occur in patients older than 50 years while <5% occur in patients younger than 50 and are concentrated between 20 and 40 years. In the elderly and in patients with low bone stock, unstable pattern of fractures are common. Fractures in patients between 40-50 yrs old occur in those who have multiple illnesses or are chronic alcoholics. As per Watson and Jones, intertrochanteric fractures heal but are prone to coxa vara and shortening thus limiting mobility and prolonging recumbency in the primary population it affects, the elderly. Thus, the aim in treating these fractures should be to encourage union without deformity and at the same time allow early mobilisation with minimal medical complications and technical errors.

Achieving uneventful fracture union and early mobility depends on the biomechanical properties of the fixation device.

Fixation of Intertrochanteric fractures began in the 1950s to preclude complications associated with prolonged immobilisation and bed rest.

Extramedullary devices like DHS have proved to be good for stable fractures patterns while frequent complications have been seen when used for unstable ones. In comparison, PFN is an intramedullary device, thus having a shorter lever arm causing lower bending moment and lower rates of mechanical failure when used in unstable fracture patterns. It also has the advantage of being a load sharing device, having a smaller incision, lesser blood loss, lower wound complications and lower operating time. However, it has disadvantages like jamming of sliding mechanisms, screw cutout, tip and distal lock stress riser, Z and reverse Z effect. Other complications like early and late implant tip diaphyseal fractures have lead to unjustification of use of short PFNs by some studies. However, newer PFN designs have several modifications to overcome the shortcomings.

Proximal femoral nail belongs to class 3 of nails described by Russell. They are reconstruction class of nails developed by Russell and Taylor. They have a proximal lateral bend (Medio-lateral angle) of 4 to 6 degrees. They have a proximal nail diameter measuring 13 to 15 mm and are trochanteric portal designs. A CCD of 130/135 degrees. It has advantages of being a closed technique. Has a 11 mm neck screw which acts as a compression screw at the fracture while a 6.5 mm neck screw acts as an anti rotation screw. They act by the principle of dynamic compression. However, they have been found to fail by the Z effect phenomenon in fractures with medial comminution and with varus positioning of the implant. The interTAN type of fourth generation nails are rarely available and less popular in India and we have had no experience with the use of this class of nails.

Materials and methods

The study was carried out at Raichur institute of medical sciences (RIMS) and thus was a single site study. 20 cases with Intertrochanteric fractures between January to November 2017 were included in this prospective study. Ethical clearance was obtained from the institute’s ethical committee. Radiographic confirmation of diagnosis was obtained. Boyd and Griffin classification was used to classify the fractures. Types 2, 3 and 4 were included in the study. Patients unfit for surgical intervention, those that were unwilling for surgery, patients with terminal illness and malignancies with a short life expectancy, patients with cognitive disturbances, those with polytrauma, those who were non ambulant before the injury were excluded from the study. All routine preoperative blood investigations, preliminary cardiorespiratory examination was carried out and fitness for surgery was obtained from the medicine department in the institute.

All cases were carried out under subarachnoid block. The operating surgeon was the same for all the 20 cases. The patient was supine on a traction table with the injured limb in longitudinal traction and the contralateral leg placed in a well leg holder. Reduction was achieved with longitudinal traction and internal rotation of varying degrees in different patients. The reduction was checked in AP and lateral views.
under fluoroscopy. The fracture was considered to be reduced when the main fracture fragments were well aligned in both the views. Baumgartner’s criteria was used to assess quality of reduction intraoperatively. Long nails were preferred for types 3 and 4 while short nails were used for type 2. In 80% of cases 135 degree nails were used while 130 deg was used in the rest of the patients based on the preoperative templating. In 30 % of cases open reduction had to be carried out to obtain satisfactory reduction of the fracture. A tip apex distance of < 24 was aimed for in all cases. Prophylactic intravenous antibiotics were used 30 minutes prior to the incision in all cases. Postoperatively all patients were put on empirical antibiotics for 5 days. Knee and ankle movements were started from the first postoperative day. All patients were allowed tolerated wt bearing from the second to tenth postoperative days. Radiographic assessment was done on postoperative day 1, weeks 4 and 6 and then at 3rd and 6th month.

Table 1: Quality of reduction was assessed using modified Baumgaertner criteria:33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modified Baumgaertner Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• AP: normal CCD angle or slight valgus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lateral: &lt; 20 degree angulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement Of Fragments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• &gt; 80% overlap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• &lt;3mm shortening</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Assessment:
  • Both criteria met —> Good
  • One criteria met —> Satisfactory
  • None of the criteria met —> Poor

Results
Twenty patients with intertrochanteric fractures, 9 males and 11 females with a mean age of 61.7, ranging between 35 to 80 years, took part in the study. Based on the Boyd and Griffin classification 7 (35%) belonged to type 2,8 (40%) to type 3 and 5 (25%) were of type 4 fractures types. The average duration from admission to the day of surgery was 6.25 days. There were no intraoperative complications. Six cases (30%) required open reduction as attempts at closed reduction failed to achieve the desired fracture reduction. Intraoperatively, in one case only a single head screw, the compression screw alone, could be passed. This may be due to the smaller built of the patient. This patient’s last follow up was at 8th month and her functional score was Fair and the fracture was uniting as expected without any complications. The mean surgical time was 32 mins with a range of 20 - 65 mins. Tolerated weight bearing was allowed at second to tenth postoperative day. The mean follow up period was 12.5 months (8 - 17 months). Postoperatively, limb shortening was noticed in two patients, at 12th and 18th month follow up, attributed to late varus collapse of the fracture. Both the patients had highly comminuted fracture patterns, had undergone open reduction and fixation, despite which, their Baumengatern quality of reduction on post operative radiographs were classified as poor. Two of the cases had superficial infection, within the 1st week of the surgery, which were treated successfully with a course of antibiotics and dressings.

The mean functional score was 87.93, ranging from 66.8 to 100. Eleven cases (55%) had excellent results, 4 (20%) good, 4 (20%) fair and 1 (5%) were poor results. There were no re-operations or any mortality during the study period.
The mean age of the cohort in our study was 62 yrs in comparison to 79.9, 74 and 84 yrs accordingly in studies by Babst et al, Klinger et al and Alyassari et al.38,39,40

The mean duration of surgery, skin to skin, as per Simmermcher et al, Pajarinan et al and Wang et al were 68.7, 55 and 90 mins were as that in our study was 32 mins ranging from 65 to 20 mins. This difference may be credited to all the cases in this study having been operated by a single surgeon, the most experienced orthopedician of the institute.41,42,43

The average blood loss per operatively also was less, being about 100ml (assessed by soaked mops) highlighting minimally invasive nature of the technique involved in the use of this implant.

There were no intraoperative technical or mechanical problems like difficulty in distal locking or lateral wall fractures as were described by Fogagnolo et al (23.4%). However, in 1 case we had difficulty in proximal cephalic screw passage leading to this cases being fixed with only one cephalic screw. There were no cases of guide wire breakage, iatrogenic fractures of the shaft of femur, no cases of varus or valgus reduction as per Baumgaertner criteria44.

Post operative complications like heterotopic ossification was noted in 1 of 83 cases of PFN by Pajarinan et al45, Werner et al documented 7.1% of cases having Z effect and 8.6% cases having screw cut out.46 We had 2 cases of superficial infection of the surgical site which were treated successfully with antibiotic therapy and two cases of varus collapse of the fracture noted at 6 weeks from the time of the surgery and 2 cases of limb shortening of > 2 cms. There were no cases of deep infection, Deep vein thrombosis, Z effect, heterotopic ossification, delayed or nonunion.

Excellent results were found in 32%, good in 52% and fair in 16% of cases treated with PFN in a study by Kiran kumar et al using Harris hip score while our study had 55% of excellent, 20% of good, 20% fair and 5% poor results.47

## Conclusion

Our study shows that PFN when used for intertrochanteric fractures provides good to excellent functional results thus justifying its continued popularity even after 20 years after its introduction and despite the emergence of many variations in its design.
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