

Original Article
Orthopaedics

EVALUATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF CONCURRENT CARPAL BONE FRACTURES IN DISTAL RADIUS FRACTURES

Manu Jacob Abraham¹, Reddy Ravikanth²

¹ - Postgraduate student, Department of Orthopedics, St. John's Medical College, Bangalore

² - Postgraduate student, Department of Radiology, St. John's Medical College, Bangalore

Corresponding Author:

Reddy Ravikanth, MBBS
Post-graduate student
Department of Radiology,
St. John's Medical College,
Bangalore – 560034

Email: ravikanthreddy06@gmail.com
Mob: +91-9035144252

Submitted on: 27 May 2016

Accepted on: 07 June 2016

Abstract:

Background: Aim of the study was to evaluate the incidence and characteristics of carpal bone fractures occurring concurrently with distal radius fractures.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed 260 patients with distal radius fractures who were treated between May 2013 – May 2016 at St. John's Medical College Hospital, Bangalore. AP, lateral and oblique radiographs of the wrist were examined and CT was done to rule out carpal bone fractures. We evaluated the frequency and characteristics of carpal bone fractures occurring concurrently with distal radius fractures with the AO classification and patient factors age and gender.

Results: 66 cases (25.3%) had simultaneous carpal bone fractures of one or more bones in the 260 distal radius fractures we encountered. Fall on an outstretched hand with extended wrist was the commonest mechanism of injury. The distribution of carpal bone fractures were 30 cases in the triquetrum, 18 in the lunate, 14 in the scaphoid, 7 in the hamate and 5 in pisiform. Hamate was the only carpal bone fractured in the distal row of carpals.

Conclusion: The incidence of concurrent carpal bone fractures in distal radius fractures was significant. Almost all carpal fractures had no or minimal displacement. Suspicion of carpal fractures occurring concurrently

with distal radius fracture should be high. CT should be considered for the diagnosis as misdiagnosed or untreated carpal bone fractures may have a poor surgical outcome with complications

Key-words: Radius, carpal, fracture, AO, malunion, CT

Introduction:

The wrist is a complex joint with bony structures, including the distal radius, distal ulna, and eight carpal bones and a ligament complex that divides extrinsic and intrinsic ligaments.

Distal radius fractures are the commonest in the upper extremity.¹ An estimated 17% of fractures seen acutely in the emergency department account for distal radius fractures. Indications for surgical intervention and method of treatment remains subjective despite the high frequency of these fractures. Closed reduction, cast immobilization, percutaneous K-wire fixation, external fixation, intramedullary fixation, open reduction and internal fixation are the various methods of treatment. However studies have not been done relating the association of distal radius fractures with ulnar fractures.² Rapid restoration of function, with attention given to the prevention of chronic disability is the goal in treating distal radius fractures. Full evaluation is required rather than simple fracture detection and description. Potential fracture complications and consequent implications can be assessed with CT.

Avulsion fractures of the carpal bones with injuries to extrinsic and intrinsic ligaments of the wrist have been commonly noted in association with distal radius fractures. Due to the compact placement with overlap of bones, carpal bone fractures are frequently missed on plain radiographs. Unsatisfactory clinical outcomes may result if misdiagnosed or untreated. Scaphoid bone fracture has been frequently documented with distal radius fractures.

Carpal bone fractures may be untreated, because they are frequently missed on initial radiographs, which

leads to persistent pain, subsequent wrist dysfunction and eventually affects the outcome of distal radius fracture treatment.³ The purpose of this study was to evaluate the frequency, distribution and characterization of carpal bone fractures associated with distal radius fractures.

Materials And Methods:

Retrospective evaluation of 260 patients who underwent operative treatment for distal radius fractures after being diagnosed with plain radiographs and CT between May 2013- May 2016 done and reviewed. All patients underwent pre-operative CT evaluation. Suspected carpal instability and distal radius fractures that had a fracture-dislocation on plain radiographs and CT were excluded from the study.

They were total of 100 males and 160 females with mean age of 44 years (Range 16 – 72). All patients with distal radius fractures underwent AP, lateral and oblique plain radiographs of the wrist prior to and after reduction with CT in neutral forearm rotation was performed and images reviewed. The mechanism of injury was fall on outstretched hand (160 cases), road traffic accidents (52 cases) and fall from height (48 cases).

Frequency and location of concurrent carpal bone fractures on CT images were assessed for in all distal radius fractures. Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Osteosynthesefragen (AO) classification was used for the classification of distal radius fractures and the frequency of associated carpal bone fractures checked for each AO classification. Subtypes of carpal bone fractures were classified according to Vigler et al, the distal radius fractures were divided into two groups: Distal radius

fractures with and without carpal bone fractures. Differences in age and sex between the two groups were compared with the chi-square test. The mechanism of injury was divided into a low-energy group including fall on an outstretched hand and a high-energy group including fall from height and road traffic accident. The frequency of associated carpal bone fractures between the two groups was compared with the t-test. Fisher's exact test was used to compare the frequency among AO types.

Results:

66 cases were associated with carpal bone fractures among the 260 distal radius fractures evaluated. They were 30 males and 36 females with a mean age of 41.5 years (Range 18-65 years). The mechanisms of injury included fall on an outstretched hand (45 cases), fall from height (15 cases) and road traffic accidents (6 cases). The distribution of carpal bone fractures were 30 cases in the triquetrum, 18 in the lunate, 14 in the scaphoid, 7 in the hamate and 5 in pisiform. Among the 66 distal radius fractures with carpal bone fractures, fractures of triquetrum were the commonest, and most carpal bone fractures were identified in the proximal row. Only single carpal bone fracture occurred in 50 cases, two in 10 cases, three in 4 cases and four in 2 cases.

All distal radius fractures were classified according to the AO classification. The frequency of associated carpal bone fractures were checked for each AO classification. Type A3 was complicated in two of 50 cases, type B1 was complicated in two of 15 cases, type B3 was one of 16 cases, type C1 was one of 15 cases, type C2 was 24 of 86 cases and type C3 was 24 of 70 cases. Type C2 and

C3 are a result of high-energy trauma and were commonly identified in these fracture subtypes. The incidence of carpal bone fractures is 30.7% in these two types as against 5.0 % in all other types combined together if we go by the current study.

Despite the relatively high frequency of associated carpal bone fractures in AO types C3 or C2, no difference was found among AO types ($p=0.20$). No significant difference was observed in the frequency of associated carpal bone fractures between the low energy and high energy groups separated by the mechanism of injury ($p=0.88$). Observations on age ($p=0.39$), sex ($p=0.34$) between patients with distal radius fractures and carpal bone fractures (66 cases) and those without carpal bone fractures (194 cases) showed no significant differences.

Discussion:

Eight carpal bones form the wrist joint and stability among carpal bones is maintained by extrinsic and intrinsic ligaments.⁴ Frequently, carpal bone fractures have been misdiagnosed on radiographs which can lead to complications resulting in malunion, non-union, avascular necrosis, carpal instability and traumatic arthritis. Current study showed concurrent carpal bone fractures in 28.4% of distal radius fractures that have been surgically treated with majority of cases diagnosed on CT.

CT should be considered if clinically warranted, because 30% of wrist fractures are not detected on plain radiographs as suggested by Welling et al.⁵ Compared to scaphoid, other carpal bone fractures have lower sensitivity of detection on plain radiographs. The frequency of carpal bone fractures of all hand injuries ranges from 8% to

19%. 70% of all carpal bone fractures involve the scaphoid and the remaining carpal bones account for about 30%. Fractures of the triquetrum and lunate was higher than scaphoid in the current study and proximal row carpal bones were commonly fractured in 89.4% cases.

Majority of triquetral fractures occur on the dorsal surface and less commonly involve the body of the triquetrum.⁶ Impingement from the ulnar styloid, shear forces, or avulsion from strong ligamentous attachments are the factors that cause fractures of dorsal surface of the triquetrum. The body fracture typically in a transverse pattern. Lunate injuries are commonly associated with a fall on an outstretched wrist, with compression force also involved. The position of the carpus at impact determines the specific type of injury. Perilunate dislocations are associated with avulsion injuries of the volar pole of the lunate or the proximal radial corner of the triquetrum.⁷ Hamate is reported to be the only injured bone in the distal carpal row. These injuries typically heal or become asymptomatic with cast immobilization. Displaced intraarticular fractures of the hamate may involve the fourth or fifth carpometacarpal joints, requiring open reduction and fixation with Kirschner wires. Pisiform fractures often occur in the setting of direct blow to the wrist with resulting vertical or transverse fracture (linear or comminuted) or a compression injury. Scaphoid is the most frequent site of carpal fracture and intercarpal ligament injury.⁸ Scaphoid bone fractures with simultaneous distal radius fractures accounting for 0.75-6.5% has been reported in many studies.

Stable internal fixation of scaphoid

and distal radius fractures is performed for early mobilization of the wrist joint to avoid complications like scaphoid nonunion. The complication rate of distal radius fractures varied between 6-80%. Carrigan and Pretell-Mazzini reported concurrent distal radius fractures with carpal bone injuries in children. Emphasis was laid on ruling out carpal bone fractures because of the similar mechanism of injury for both fractures.⁹ Type C2 and C3 injuries were a result of high velocity trauma and should be carefully assessed with high suspicion as approximately one-third of them have associated carpal bone fractures. CT is warranted to assess fracture comminution, displacement and complex intraarticular extension. CT evaluation of radiocarpal articular step-off and gap displacement-factors is crucial in predicting the development of radiocarpal osteoarthritis.⁹

Kiuru et al recommended the need for CT when findings on plain radiographs are equivocal and also to assess complex wrist fractures, because only plain radiographs may miss occult fractures, which usually occur in small carpal bones.¹⁰ In the current study, only 14 of 66 distal radius fractures with simultaneous carpal bone fractures were detected on plain radiographs and the rest on CT scans.

All carpal bone fractures were conservatively managed except for the 6 waist fractures of scaphoid. Immobilization of all distal radius fractures using a locking plate was done for 4 weeks. Closed reduction and cast or percutaneous pin immobilization were sufficient for treatment; Intraarticular fragments would require open reduction and internal fixation.¹¹ CT may imply avulsion fractures with ligament

damage or instability of the wrist joint.

Current study focused on the frequency, distribution and characterization of concurrent carpal bone fractures with distal radius fractures. Limitation of this study was, distal radius fractures with simultaneous carpal bone fractures were surgically treated with various methods such as volar plate fixation (39 distal radius fractures), dorsal plate fixation (eight distal radius fractures), external fixation (eleven distal radius fractures), and percutaneous K-wire fixation (eight distal radius fractures). They did not have much significance to evaluate the clinical outcomes because these fractures were not treated by one surgical method with the same postoperative protocol.

Table 1: Number of carpal bones fractured

Number of carpal bones involved	Number of fractures (%)
One	50 (75.7%)
Two	10 (15.1%)
Three	4 (6.0%)
Four	2 (3.0%)

Table 2: Involvement of proximal and distal row of carpal bones

Row of carpal bones	Frequency (%)
Proximal	59 (89.4%)
Distal	7 (10.6%)

Table 3: Mechanism of injury in distal radius and carpal bone fractures

Mechanism of injury	Frequency of distal radius fractures
Low energy injury	160
High energy injury	150
	Frequency of carpal bone fractures
Low energy injury	45
High energy injury	21

Table 4: Carpal bone involvement with Arbeitsgemeinschaft für osteosynthesen type of fracture

Characteristic	Carpal bone fracture (-)	Carpal bone fracture (+)	Total
Number of patients	194	66	260
Age (yr), Mean (Range)	44 (16-72)	41.5 (18-65)	44 (16-72)
Sex (Male : Female)	78 : 116	30 : 36	102 : 158
Injury causes			
Slip down	120	45	165
Fall down	30	15	45
Road traffic accident	44	6	50
Fracture type (AO)			
A1	0	0	0
A2	4	0	4
A3	48	4 (7.7%)	52
B1	13	4 (23.5%)	17
B2	0	0	0
B3	15	1 (6.2%)	16
C1	14	1 (6.6%)	15
C2	62	24 (27.9%)	86
C3	46	24 (34.2%)	70

(A0 - Arbeitsgemeinschaft für osteosynthesen)

Table 5: Frequency of fractures of each carpal bone that complicated 260 distal radius fractures

Carpal Bone	Number of fractures (%)	Subtype	Number of fractures
Scaphoid	14 (5.4)	Palmar tubercle	2
		Around waist	6
		Distal pole	6
Lunate	18 (6.9)	Type 1 – Palmar pole	6
		Type 2 – Osteochondral grip	2
		Type 3 – Dorsal pole	10
		Type 4 – Sagittal oblique body	0
		Type 5 – Transverse body	0
		Type 6 – Comminuted	0
Triquetrum	30 (11.5)	Type 1 – Dorsal cortex	15
		Type 2 - Body	12
		Type 3 – Palmar cortex	3
Pisiform	5 (1.9)	Type 1 - Transverse	0
		Type 2 - Sagittal	4
		Type 3 - Comminuted	1
		Type 4 – Pisotriquetral impaction	0
Trapezium	0		
Trapezoid	0		
Capitate	0		
Hamate	7 (2.7)	Type 1 – Hook	5
		Type 2 – Body including dorsal cortex	2

Conclusion:

Concurrent fractures of distal radius and carpal bones are common and complex entities. A thorough understanding of fracture dynamics and treatment options would be necessary for complete evaluation of the wrist joint. Carpal bone fractures are frequently associated with distal radius fractures due to similar mechanism of injury such as fall on an outstretched hand with an extended wrist. We conclude that fractures of proximal row of carpal bones are frequently associated with distal radius fractures. If undetected on plain radiographs, CT must be warranted as misdiagnosed or untreated fractures of carpal bones may result in complications affecting the final outcome. If distal radius fractures associated with carpal bone fractures are conservatively managed, then no additional intervention is required for carpal bones, except in scaphoid fractures due to risk of infarction. However, if distal radius fractures associated with carpal bone fractures are surgically managed and early immobilization is planned, then misdiagnosed or untreated fractures of carpal bones may result in complications.

Conflict of interest: None

Source of funding: None

Informed consent: Obtained

Ethical clearance: Obtained from Institutional Ethical Review Board (IERB), St. John's Medical College, Bangalore 560-034.

References:

- Loredo RA, Sorge DG, Colonel L, Garcia G. Radiographic Evaluation of the Wrist: A Vanishing Art. 2005;248-89.
- Mushtaq N, Gm WK, Fractures B, Europe N, Barton T. Distal radial fractures in the elderly | GM Distal radial fractures in the elderly | GM. 2016;87(2013):6-7.
- Nicolescu R, Kam C, Sawardeker P, Ouellette EA, Clifford P, Latta L. The Incidence and Characteristics of Carpal Fractures Arising Concurrently with Distal Radius Fractures After a Fall onto an Outstretched Hand ORS 2014 Annual Meeting. 1996;772-4.
- Pt TW, Orthopedics S, Associates M. Complications Following Distal Radius Fractures. 2016;1-16.
- Tools A, Articles R. Incidence and Characteristics of Carpal Fractures Occurring Concurrently With Distal Radius Fractures. 2016;38(3):1-2.
- Goldfarb CA, Yin Y, Gilula LA, Fisher AJ, Boyer MI. What the Clinician Wants to Know Wrist Fractures: What the Clinician Wants to Know 1. 2001;(Fig 1):11-28.
- Iii RCM, Wysocki RW, Iii JMA, Pietrobon R, Nunley JA. Effect of Facility on the Operative Costs of Distal Radius Fractures. YJHSU [Internet]. Elsevier Inc.; 2011;36(7):1142-8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhsa.2011.03.042>
- Hing-cheong W, Hin-keung W, Kam-yiu W. Journal of Orthopaedics, Trauma and Rehabilitation Uncommon Dorsal Radiocarpal Fracture Dislocation Complicated With Median Nerve Palsy: Case Report, Review of the Literature, and a New Classification System Guiding the Management. J Orthop Trauma Rehabil [Internet]. Elsevier (Singapore) Pte Ltd; 2012;16(1):33-6. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jotr.2011.07.005>
- Gogna P, Singla R, Gupta RK, Bhagwat P, Sharma D, Graduate P, et al. Carpal Bone Fractures in Distal Radial Fractures: Is Computed Tomography Expedient? 2014;101-2.
- Kirkcaldy VH, Kingdom U, Cowie J, Anakwe R, McQueen M. Factors associated with one-year outcome after distal radial fracture treatment. 2015;23(1):24-8.
- Heo YM, Kim SB, Yi JW, Lee JB. Evaluation of Associated Carpal Bone Fractures in Distal Radial Fractures. 2013;98-104.